II. EMS AND NEU MUTAGENIC EFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS IN INDUCTION OF MORPHOLOGICAL MUTATIONS IN PHASEOLUS VULGARIS L.

Diana Svetleva Agricultural University, 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria

INTRODUCTION

In comparison to the chlorophyll mutations, morphological are more interesting for breeders because they can find, between them, forms representing specific breeding interest. Evaluation of mutability by frequency of phenotypic changes cannot be identical with induced breakings in cells. There are many biological barriers in fixation of mutations. Despite this fault, checking of visual mutations is widely used as enough objective method for evaluation of mutagenic efficiency and genotype mutability.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Five years results with Bulgarian common bean varieties Dobroudjanski 7; Dobroudjanski 2; Plovdiv 11M and Plovdiv 10 were included as average in that study. Mutagenic factors were applied in the next concentrations: $EMS \Rightarrow 2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$; $1.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$; $6.2 \cdot 10^{-3} M$ and $NEU \Rightarrow 6.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$; $3.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$; $1.55 \cdot 10^{-3} M$. Buffers with pH 6.0 and 7.0 were used as controls.

Morphological mutations were determined by classification of Ivanov (1961). As a criterion for mutagenic efficiency was used the coefficient of efficiency:

$$(C.E. = \frac{frequency \ of \ surviving \ (\% \ M \ 1)}{100}$$
 $X = \frac{frequency \ of \ mutations \ (\%)}{100}), (Krausse,$

1968 by Mehandjiev et al., 1981), while mutagenic effectiveness was calculated on the basis of ratio Msd/L. Msd was the amount of mutations based on 100 M_2 plants and L was the lethality (Konzak et al., 1965 by Mehandjiev et al., 1981).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mutagenic efficiency (C.E. – Table 1) was different for all studied varieties. It was the highest in treatments with the lowest in lethality concentrations $(1,55\cdot10^{-3}M\ NEU\ and\ 6,2\cdot10^{-3}M\ EMS$ – $LD_{25-30})$ for variety Plovdiv 11M, while middle of lethality concentrations applied on variety Plovdiv 10 $(3,1\cdot10^{-3}M\ NEU\ and\ 1,25\cdot10^{-2}M\ EMS$ – $LD_{45-50})$ were more efficient. There were not found very clear dependences for varieties Dobroudjanski 7 and Dobroudjanski 2.

Mutagenic effectiveness (Table 1) was in dependence of studied varieties, applied mutagens and concentrations. There were found specific varieties' peculiarities. For example, the most effective for variety Dobroudjanski 7 were mutagenic treatments with middle of lethality concentrations, while for Plovdiv 10 the most effective were treatments with the highest of lethality concentrations of NEU and EMS. Despite higher values of C.E. and Msd/L, showed after application of middle (3,1 10 M NEU and 1,25 10 M EMS – LD₄₅₋₅₀) or low (1,55 10 M NEU and 6,2 10 M EMS – LD₂₅₋₃₀) by lethality concentrations of two applied mutagens, they are not the most efficient and effective for induction of wide mutation spectra and the highest mutation frequencies. As is known, mutation spectrum and frequency are very important indexes for discovery and selection of more interesting mutants with valuable breeding indications. It is interesting to point that not every time on higher mutagenic efficiency corresponded higher effectiveness. It is due to wideness of mutation spectra, different lethality in plants after application of mutagenic concentrations and different surviving of plants in M₁ generation. Therefore, when we have to choose the mutagenic treatment for creation of bigger mutation

diversity and selection of valuable common bean mutants, it is important to evaluate mutation frequency, spectra, coefficient of efficiency and mutagenic effectiveness (Mehandjiev et al., 1981; 1985).

Table 1. EMS and NEU mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency in induction of morphological mutations

Table 1. EMS and NEU mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency in induction of morphological mutations										
	%	Num-	Mutation			%	Num-	Mutation		
	lethality,	ber of	frequency, in	C.E.	Msd/L	lethality,	ber of	frequency, in	C.E.	Msd/L
Treatments	in M ₁	muta-	%			in M ₁	muta-	%		
	genera-	tions				genera-	tions	; ·	,	
	tion					tion				
Variety Dobroudjanski 7						Variety Plovdiv 11 M				
Control pH 6,0	9,8	1	$0,10 \pm 0,10$	0,0009	0,010	7,95	2	$0,16 \pm 0,11$	0,0015	0,020
6,210 ⁻³ M HEK	81,73	106	$10,09 \pm 0,93$	0,0184	0,123	81,13	181	$14,76 \pm 1,01$	0,0278	0,182
3,1 10 ⁻³ M HEK	67,73	91	$9,99 \pm 0,99$	0,0322	0,147	65,44	158	$13,06 \pm 0,97$	0,0451	0,199
1,5510 ⁻³ M HEK	51,34	91	$7,23 \pm 0,73$	0,0352	0,141	49,51	149	$11,78 \pm 0,91$	0,0595	0,238
Control pH 7,0	12,61	4	$0,18 \pm 0,09$	0,0016	0,014	12,9	2	$0,18 \pm 0,13$	0,0016	0,014
2,510°2 M EMC	78,8	95	$7,32 \pm 0,72$	0,0155	0,093	75,9	150	$12,43 \pm 0,95$	0,0300	0,164
1,2510 ² M EMC	64,1	86	$7,20 \pm 0,75$	0,0259	0,112	62,1	135	$11,14 \pm 0,90$	0,0422	0,179
6,210 ⁻³ M EMC	50,7	77	$3,45 \pm 0,39$	0,0170	0,068	49,2	102	$8,33 \pm 0,79$	0,0423	0,169
Variety Dobroudjanski 2						Variety Plovdiv 10				
Control pH 6,0	8,7	2	$0,19 \pm 0,13$	0,0017	0,022	8,56	1	$0,09 \pm 0,09$	0,0008	0,010
6,210 ⁻³ M HEK	80,6	140	$12,37 \pm 0,98$	0,0240	0,153	82,2	73	$6,73 \pm 0,76$	0,0120	0,082
3,1 ¹ 10 ³ M HEK	65,8	144	$11,36 \pm 0,89$	0,0388	0,173	65,4	49	$4,21 \pm 0,59$	0,0146	0,064
1,5510 ⁻³ M HEK	49,8	126	$10,50 \pm 0,88$	0,0527	0,211	50,8	26	$2,11 \pm 0,41$	0,0104	0,041
Control pH 7,0	13,3	2	$0,15 \pm 0,11$	0,0013	0,011	13,3	1	$0,09 \pm 0,08$	0,0008	0,007
2,510 ² M EMC	76,9	116	$10,20 \pm 0,90$	0,0236	0,133	76,73	53	$4,31 \pm 0,58$	0,0100	0,056
1,2510° M EMC	62,1	122	$9,50 \pm 0,82$	0,0360	0,153	62,9	37	$3,21 \pm 0,52$	0,0119	0,051
6,210 ⁻³ M EMC	49,8	57	$4,60 \pm 0,60$	0,0231	0,092	50,1	20	$1,96 \pm 0,43$	0,0098	0,039

REFERENCES:

Ivanov, N.R. 1961. Fassol. Selhozgiz ed., Leningrad, Russia; 2) Mehandjiev, A., S. Chankova, S. Petkova, P. Denchev, G. Nacheva. 1981. Factors determining mutagenic effectiveness and their significance for experimental muategenesis. In: "Genetics and cytogenetics in plants", BAS, p. 132-141; 3) Mehandjiev, A. 1985. Problems of mutagenic effectiveness and possibilities for her increasing. Doctoral thesis, Sofia, Bg, 450 pp.